



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Robert M. Japp et al.

Serial No.: 09/625,135 ✓

Filed: July 25, 2000

For: Composite Laminate Circuit
Structure and Methods of
Fabricating

#111 Response

RECEIVED

OCT - 8 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

: Art Unit: 3729

: Examiner: Smith, S.

: Atty Docket: END919990082US1

gw 10/17/02

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION UNDER 37 CFR 1.111

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action dated June 5, 2002.

REMARKS

Claims 1-17 are now in the application. Claims 8-17 are directed to the elected invention. Claims 1-7 are drawn to a non-elected invention and may be cancelled by the Examiner upon the allowance of a claims directed to the elected invention. Claims 8-17 have been amended to include commas and colons as suggested by the Examiner, thereby addressing the objections to these claims.

Claims 8-17 were rejected under the judicially-created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over U.S. Patent 6,201,194 to Lauffer et al. in view of U.S. Patent 6,175,087 to Keesler. This rejection of the claims has been overcome by the